

# A GENERALIZED CLOSED FORM FOR TRIANGULAR MATRIX POWERS

Walter Shur

20 Speyside Circle, Pittsboro, North Carolina, 27312, USA

## ABSTRACT.

[1] shows, for a  $k \times k$  triangular matrix  $M = [m_{i,j}]$  with unique diagonal elements, how to obtain numbers  $p_{i,j,s}$  such that the  $(i,j)^{th}$  element of  $M^n$  is given by  ${}_n m_{i,j} = \sum_{s=i}^j p_{i,j,s} m_{s,s}^{n-1}$ . This paper generalizes that formula: it removes the restriction of unique diagonal elements and shows how to obtain numbers  $c_{i,j,r,s}$  such that  ${}_n m_{i,j} = \sum_{r=1}^{num(i,j)} \sum_{s=1}^{m_{py}(r)} c_{i,j,r,s} \binom{n-1}{s-1} m_{i,j,r}^{n-s}$ , where  $num(i,j)$  is the number of unique diagonal elements between and including the  $i^{th}$  and  $j^{th}$  rows,  $\{m_{i,j,r}\}_1^{num(i,j)}$  is the set of those unique elements, and  $m_{py}(r)$  is the multiplicity of  $m_{i,j,r}$  on that same range. Like the  $p_{i,j,s}$  in [1], the  $c_{i,j,r,s}$  are independent of the power to which the matrix is raised. The generalized formula works for any power of  $M$ , negative, zero or positive (positive only if the matrix is singular).

**Key words:** *Matrix, Triangular, Powers, Closed Form*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

[1] presents a method of obtaining a simple closed form for the powers of a triangular matrix with unique diagonal elements, as follows:

**Definition 1.** *Let  $M = [m_{i,j}]$  be a  $k \times k$  upper triangular matrix with unique diagonal elements. We define the power factors of  $M$ ,  $p_{i,j,s}$ , recursively on the index  $j$ , as follows:*

$$p_{i,j,s} = \frac{\sum_{t=s}^{j-1} p_{i,t,s} m_{t,j}}{m_{s,s} - m_{j,j}} \quad i \leq s < j \leq k, \quad (1.1)$$

$$p_{i,j,s} = 0 \quad s < i, \quad s > j,$$

$$p_{i,j,j} = m_{i,j} - \sum_{t=i}^{j-1} p_{i,j,t} \quad i < j \leq k, \quad (1.2)$$

$$p_{j,j,j} = m_{j,j}.$$

**Theorem 1.** If  $M = [m_{i,j}]$  is a non-singular upper triangular matrix with unique diagonal elements, and  ${}_n m_{i,j}$  is the  $(i,j)^{th}$  element of  $M^n$ , then

$${}_n m_{i,j} = \sum_{s=i}^j p_{i,j,s} m_{s,s}^{n-1},$$

for all integral values of  $n$ , negative, positive or zero. If  $M$  is singular, the equation holds if  $n \geq 1$  and  $0^0$  is taken as 1).

## 2. ALTERNATE DEFINITION FOR $p_{i,j,s}$ .

Let  $M = [m_{i,j}]$  be an upper triangular matrix with unique diagonal elements. The product

$$m_{i,a} m_{a,b} m_{b,c} \cdots m_{l,j},$$

where  $i \leq a < b < c \cdots < l < j$ , and  $s$  is  $i, j$ , or one of  $a, b, c, \cdots l$ , is called a chain from  $i$  to  $j$  passing through  $s$ . The length of the chain is the number of elements in the product. The expression

$$\frac{m_{i,a} m_{a,b} m_{b,c} \cdots m_{l,j}}{(m_{s,s} - m_{a,a})(m_{s,s} - m_{b,b})(m_{s,s} - m_{c,c}) \cdots (m_{s,s} - m_{l,l})(m_{s,s} - m_{j,j})}$$

where  $(m_{s,s} - m_{s,s})$  is taken as 1, is called an adjusted chain from  $i$  to  $j$  passing through  $s$ .

**Definition 2.** If  $i \leq s \leq j$ ,  $p_{i,j,s}$  is the sum of all adjusted chains from  $i$  to  $j$  passing through  $s$ . If  $s < i$  or  $s > j$ ,  $p_{i,j,s} = 0$ .

Following are a few illustrative examples which help clarify the definition:

$$p_{1,1,1} = m_{1,1},$$

$$p_{1,3,1} = \frac{m_{1,1}m_{1,3}}{(m_{1,1}-m_{3,3})} + \frac{m_{1,1}m_{1,2}m_{2,3}}{(m_{1,1}-m_{2,2})(m_{1,1}-m_{3,3})},$$

$$p_{1,3,2} = \frac{m_{1,2}m_{2,3}}{m_{2,2}-m_{3,3}} + \frac{m_{1,1}m_{1,2}m_{2,3}}{(m_{2,2}-m_{1,1})(m_{2,2}-m_{3,3})},$$

$$p_{1,3,3} = m_{1,3} + \frac{m_{1,1}m_{1,3}}{m_{3,3}-m_{1,1}} + \frac{m_{1,2}m_{2,3}}{m_{3,3}-m_{2,2}} + \frac{m_{1,1}m_{1,2}m_{2,3}}{(m_{3,3}-m_{1,1})(m_{3,3}-m_{2,2})}.$$

**Theorem 2.** Definition 2 is equivalent to Definition 1.

*Proof.* From Definition 2, each term of the summand in (1.1) is of the form

$$\frac{m_{i,a}m_{a,b}m_{b,c} \cdots m_{l,t}m_{t,j}}{(m_{s,s}-m_{a,a})(m_{s,s}-m_{b,b})(m_{s,s}-m_{c,c}) \cdots (m_{s,s}-m_{l,l})(m_{s,s}-m_{t,t})},$$

where  $(m_{s,s}-m_{s,s})$  is taken as 1. The sum of all such terms, from  $t = s$  to  $t = j-1$ , clearly includes all of the chains from  $i$  to  $j$  passing through  $s$ . That sum would be the sum of all the adjusted chains from  $i$  to  $j$  passing through  $s$ , except that the difference  $(m_{s,s}-m_{j,j})$  is missing from each denominator. Hence the division by that difference in (1.1), and thus (1.1) is satisfied.

Next, we need to show that (1.2) is satisfied. The sum  $\sum_{t=i}^j p_{i,j,t}$  consists of all adjusted chains from  $i$  to  $j$  of the form

$$\frac{m_{i,a_1}m_{a_1,a_2}m_{a_2,a_3} \cdots m_{a_r,j}}{(m_{s,s}-m_{a_1,a_1})(m_{s,s}-m_{a_2,a_2})(m_{s,s}-m_{a_3,a_3}) \cdots (m_{s,s}-m_{a_r,a_r})(m_{s,s}-m_{j,j})},$$

with values  $r = 1, 2, 3, \dots, j-i$  and  $s = a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, j$ . The only term with  $r = 1$  comes from  $p_{i,j,j}$  and is equal to  $m_{i,j}$  (see the illustrative

example for  $p_{1,3,3}$  in Definition 2). The sum of all the adjusted chains of length 2 with the same numerator is

$$m_{i,a_1} m_{a_1,a_2} \left( \frac{1}{m_{a_1,a_1} - m_{a_2,a_2}} + \frac{1}{m_{a_2,a_2} - m_{a_1,a_1}} \right) = 0.$$

The sum of all adjusted chains of length 3 with the same numerator is

$$m_{i,a_1} m_{a_1,a_2} m_{a_2,a_3} \left( \frac{1}{(m_{a_1,a_1} - m_{a_2,a_2})(m_{a_1,a_1} - m_{a_3,a_3})} + \frac{1}{(m_{a_2,a_2} - m_{a_1,a_1})(m_{a_2,a_2} - m_{a_3,a_3})} + \frac{1}{(m_{a_3,a_3} - m_{a_1,a_1})(m_{a_3,a_3} - m_{a_2,a_2})} \right) = 0.$$

In general, the multiplier of  $m_{i,a_1} m_{a_1,a_2} m_{a_2,a_3} \cdots m_{a_r,j}$  is seen [2] to be the  $(r-1)^{st}$  divided difference of the polynomial  $f(x) = 1$ , and hence is 0 if  $r \geq 2$ .

Therefore,  $\sum_{t=i}^j p_{i,j,t} = m_{i,j}$ , and (1.2) is satisfied. And since  $p_{j,j,j} = m_{i,j}$ , Definition 2 is equivalent to Definition 1.

□

### 3. NON-UNIQUE DIAGONAL ELEMENTS

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $M = [m_{i,j}]$  be an upper  $k \times k$  triangular matrix with non-unique diagonal elements. Let  $\text{num}(i, j)$  be the number of unique diagonal elements between and including the  $i^{th}$  and  $j^{th}$  rows,  $\{m_{i,j,r}\}_1^{\text{num}(i,j)}$  be the set of those unique elements, and  $\text{mpy}(r)$  be the multiplicity of  $m_{i,j,r}$  on that same range ( $\sum_{t=1}^{\text{num}(x,y)} \text{mpy}(r) = k$ ).*

Then

$${}_n m_{i,j} = \sum_{r=1}^{\text{num}(i,j)} \sum_{s=1}^{\text{mpy}(r)} c_{i,j,r,s} \binom{n-1}{s-1} m_{i,j,r}^{n-s},$$

where the  $c_{i,j,r,s}$  are independent of the power to which the matrix is raised. This generalized formula works for any power of  $M$ , negative, zero or positive (positive only if the matrix is singular).

*Proof.* The proof is much easier to present, and much easier to follow, for a specific case. The generalization in Theorem 3 will be evident, including the method of determining the numerical values of  $c_{i,j,r,s}$ .

Let

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 3 & 5 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 5 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 6 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 5 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

In terms of Theorem 3,

$$\begin{aligned} m_{1,6,1} &= 3 & mpy(1) &= 3, \\ m_{1,6,2} &= 5 & mpy(2) &= 2, \\ m_{1,6,3} &= 7 & mpy(3) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

and  $num(1,6) = 3$ . In order to make use of Theorem 1, we first alter the matrix  $M$  so that it will have unique diagonal elements, as follows:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 3 & 5 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 5 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3-e & 2 & 6 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5-f & 5 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3-g \end{pmatrix}$$

At an appropriate stage, we will let  $e$ ,  $f$ , and  $g$  become zero to obtain results for the original matrix  $M$ .

We have from Theorem 1, for example, the element of  $M^n$  at row 1 and column 6 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} {}_n m_{1,6} = & p_{1,6,1}3^{n-1} + p_{1,6,2}5^{n-1} + p_{1,6,3}(3-e)^{n-1} + \\ & p_{1,6,4}(5-f)^{n-1} + p_{1,6,5}7^{n-1} + p_{1,6,6}(3-g)^{n-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Expanding the binomials, and collecting only those terms involving powers of 5, we obtain

$$(p_{1,6,2} + p_{1,6,4})5^{n-1} + p_{1,6,4} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i} (-f)^i 5^{n-1-i}. \quad (3.2)$$

We know from Definition 2 that  $p_{1,6,4}$  is the sum of all adjusted chains from 1 to 6 passing through 4. Combine all of those adjusted chains into a single fraction with the denominator equal to the product of the differences between  $m_{4,4}$  and each of the other diagonal elements, namely  $(2-f)(-f)(2+e-f)(-2-f)(2+g-f)$ . We see from this denominator that  $p_{1,6,4}(-f)^i = 0$  if  $i > 1$  and  $f = 0$ . Therefore, the only terms of (3.2) involving powers of 5 are

$$(p_{1,6,2} + p_{1,6,4})5^{n-1} + p_{1,6,4}(-f) \binom{n-1}{1} 5^{n-2}.$$

We determine the coefficients of the powers of 5,  $c_{1,6,2,1}$  and  $c_{1,6,2,2}$ , using Mathematica as follows:

$c_{1,6,2,1}$  Input:  $(p[1, 6, 2] + p[1, 6, 4] // \text{Together}) / \{e - > 0, f - > 0, g - > 0\}$

Output:  $-\frac{59}{2}$

$c_{1,6,2,2}$  Input:  $((-f)p[1, 6, 4] // \text{Together}) / \{e - > 0, f - > 0, g - > 0\}$

Output:  $-60$

Similarly, collecting only those terms involving powers of 3, we obtain

$$(p_{1,6,1} + p_{1,6,3} + p_{1,6,6})3^{n-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (p_{1,6,3}(-e)^i + p_{1,6,6}(-g)^i) \binom{n-1}{i} 3^{n-1-i}. \quad (3.3)$$

Combine all of the adjusted chains in  $(p_{1,6,3}(-e)^i + p_{1,6,6}(-g)^i)$  into a single fraction, with the denominator equal to  $a \times b$ , where  $a$  is the product of the differences between  $m_{3,3}$  and each of the other diagonal elements, and  $b$  is the product of the differences between  $m_{6,6}$  and each of the other diagonal elements. The only elements in that denominator which become zero when  $e$ ,  $f$ , and  $g$  become zero are  $(-e)(g-e)(e-g)(-g) = eg(g-e)^2$ . If  $(p_{1,6,3}(-e)^i + p_{1,6,6}(-g)^i)$  were equal to a constant  $A \neq 0$ , the numerator of that single fraction would have to contain a term  $eg(g-e)^2A$ . If  $i > 2$ , that is not possible since each term of the numerator must contain a power of  $e$  greater than 2 or a power of  $g$  greater than 2, but  $eg(g-e)^2A$  contains the term  $(-2)e^2g^2A$ . Hence  $(p_{1,6,3}(-e)^i + p_{1,6,6}(-g)^i) = 0$  if  $i > 2$  and  $e$ ,  $f$ , and  $g$  are zero. Therefore the only terms of (3.3) involving powers of 3 are

$$(p_{1,6,1} + p_{1,6,3} + p_{1,6,6})3^{n-1} + ((-e)p_{1,6,3} + (-g)p_{1,6,6}) \binom{n-1}{1} 3^{n-2} + ((-e)^2p_{1,6,3} + (-g)^2p_{1,6,6}) \binom{n-1}{2} 3^{n-3}.$$

Again, we determine the coefficients of powers of 3,  $c_{1,6,1,1}$ ,  $c_{1,6,1,2}$ ,  $c_{1,6,1,3}$ , using Mathematica as follows:

$c_{1,6,1,1}$  Input:  $(p[1, 6, 1] + p[1, 6, 3] + p[1, 6, 6])//\text{Together}/.\{e \rightarrow 0, f \rightarrow 0, g \rightarrow 0\}$   
Output:  $-\frac{203}{32}$

$c_{1,6,1,2}$  Input:  $((-e)p[1, 6, 3] + (-g)p[1, 6, 6])//\text{Together}/.\{e \rightarrow 0, f \rightarrow 0, g \rightarrow 0\}$   
Output:  $\frac{5}{8}$

$c_{1,6,1,3}$  Input:  $((-e)^2 p[1, 6, 3] + (-g)^2 p[1, 6, 6]) // \text{Together} /. \{e - > 0, f - > 0, g - > 0\}$   
Output:  $\frac{15}{2}$

The only term in (3.1) involving powers of 7 is  $p_{1,6,5} 7^{n-1}$ . We determine the coefficient of  $7^{n-1}$ ,  $c_{1,6,3,1}$ , using Mathematica, as follows:

$c_{1,6,3,1}$  Input:  $(p[1,6,5]) // \text{Together} /. \{e - > 0, f - > 0, g - > 0\}$   
Output:  $\frac{1211}{32}$

Combining the above results we obtain the closed form expression for  ${}_n m_{1,6}$ ,

$${}_n m_{1,6} = -\frac{203}{32} 3^{n-1} + \frac{5}{8} \binom{n-1}{1} 3^{n-2} + \frac{15}{2} \binom{n-1}{2} 3^{n-3} - \frac{59}{2} 5^{n-1} - 60 \binom{n-1}{1} 5^{n-2} + \frac{1211}{32} 7^{n-1}.$$

In the terms of Theorem 3, this is

$${}_n m_{1,6} = c_{1,6,1,1} m_{1,6,1}^{n-1} + c_{1,6,1,2} \binom{n-1}{1} m_{1,6,1}^{n-2} + c_{1,6,1,3} \binom{n-1}{2} m_{1,6,1}^{n-3} + c_{1,6,2,1} m_{1,6,2}^{n-1} + c_{1,6,2,2} \binom{n-1}{1} m_{1,6,2}^{n-2} + c_{1,6,3,1} m_{1,6,3}^{n-1}.$$

It is easy to see from the pattern in (3.1) that the structure of Theorem 3 is correct in the general case. To prove Theorem 3 we need only establish the cutoff points for the expansion of the binomials in (3.1). We did that explicitly for elements of multiplicity 2 and 3. We do so now for elements of higher multiplicities.

The argument immediately following (3.3) proved that for a diagonal element of multiplicity 3, the expression  $(p_{1,6,3}(-e)^i + p_{1,6,6}(-g)^i)$  was



zero if  $i > 2$  and  $e$  and  $g$  were zero. It did that by showing that the numerator would have to contain a term  $eg(g - e)^2 A (A \neq 0)$ , which was then shown to be not possible.

Suppose now that the element  $m_{5,5}$  in the unaltered matrix  $M$  were 3 instead of 7, and was replaced by  $3 - h$  in the altered matrix. That same argument, but now for a diagonal element of multiplicity 4, would change as follows:

(1)  $(p_{1,6,3}(-e)^i + p_{1,6,6}(-g)^i)$  would be replaced by  $(p_{1,6,3}(-e)^i + p_{1,6,5}(-h)^i + p_{1,6,6}(-g)^i)$ ,

(2)  $eg(g - e)^2$  would be replaced by  $egh(e - g)^2(e - h)^2(g - h)^2$ ,

(3) If  $(p_{1,6,3}(-e)^i + p_{1,6,5}(-h)^i + p_{1,6,6}(-g)^i)$  were equal to a constant  $A \neq 0$ , the numerator would have to contain a term  $egh(e - g)^2(e - h)^2(g - h)^2 A$ . But if  $i > 3$ , that would not be possible because each term of the numerator would have to contain a power greater than 3 for at least one of  $e, g$ , or  $h$ , but  $egh(e - g)^2(e - h)^2(g - h)^2 A$  contains the term  $-8e^3g^3h^3A$ .

The generalization to higher multiplicities is straightforward.

□

#### 4. ILLUSTRATIONS

(1) We use Theorem 3 to obtain a closed form for  ${}_n m_{2,4}$  for the matrix  $M$  in Section 3, noting that  $m_{2,4,1} = 5$ ,  $mpy(1) = 2$ ,  $m_{2,4,2} = 3$ ,  $mpy(2) = 1$ , and  $num(2, 4) = 2$ . The coefficients  $c_{2,4,r,s}$  are determined using Mathematica as follows:

$c_{2,4,1,1}$  Input:  $(p[2, 4, 2] + p[2, 4, 4])/Together/. \{e - > 0, f - > 0\}$

Output: 1

$c_{2,4,1,2}$  Input:  $((-f)p[2, 4, 4]//\text{Together})/. \{e- > 0, f- > 0\}$

Output: 30

$c_{2,4,2,1}$  Input:  $(p[2, 4, 3]//\text{Together})/. \{e- > 0, f- > 0\}$

Output: 3

Theorem 3 gives us

$${}_n m_{2,4} = 1 \cdot 5^{n-1} + 30 \binom{n-1}{1} 5^{n-2} + 3 \cdot 3^{n-1}.$$

(2) Let  $M$  and the altered  $M$  be the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 5 & 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 5 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 5-e & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 5-f & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5-g \end{pmatrix}$$

We use Theorem 3 to obtain a closed form for  ${}_n m_{1,4}$ , noting that  $m_{1,4,1} = 5$ ,  $mpy(1) = 4$ , and  $num(1, 4) = 1$ . The coefficients  $c_{1,4,r,s}$  are determined using Mathematica as follows:

$c_{1,4,1,1}$  Input:  $(p[1, 4, 1] + p[1, 4, 2] + p[1, 4, 3] + p[1, 4, 4]//\text{Together})/. \{e- > 0, f- > 0, g- > 0\}$

Output: 3

$c_{1,4,1,2}$  Input:  $((-e)p[1, 4, 2] + (-f)p[1, 4, 3] + p(-g)p[1, 4, 4]//\text{Together})/. \{e- > 0, f- > 0, g- > 0\}$

Output: 20

$c_{1,4,1,3}$  Input:  $((-e)^2 p[1, 4, 2] + (-f)^2 p[1, 4, 3] + p(-g)^2 p[1, 4, 4]//\text{Together})/. \{e- > 0, f- > 0, g- > 0\}$

Output: 33

$c_{1,4,1,4}$  Input:  $((-e)^3 p[1, 4, 2] + (-f)^3 p[1, 4, 3] + p(-g)^3 p[1, 4, 4]) / \text{Together} /. \{e - > 0, f - > 0, g - > 0\}$

Output: 40

Theorem 3 gives us

$${}_n m_{1,4} = 3 \cdot 5^{n-1} + 20 \binom{n-1}{1} 5^{n-2} + 33 \binom{n-1}{2} 5^{n-3} + 40 \binom{n-1}{3} 5^{n-4}.$$

Note: In the above illustrations, three different variables ( $e, f$  and  $g$ ) were chosen for clarity of presentation. In actual practice, replacing ( $e, f$  and  $g$ ) with variables such as  $e$ ,  $e^2$ , and  $e^3$  would be more efficient since Mathematica would need to record only one variable instead of three, and only one variable need be set to zero.

## References

- [1] W. Shur. A Simple Closed Form For Triangular Matrix Powers. *Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra*, 22:1000-1003, 2011
- [2] V. Jankovic. Divided Differences. *The Teaching Of Mathematics*, III, 2:115-119, 2000.
- [3] C.P. Huang, An Efficient Algorithm For Computing Powers Of Triangular Matrices, *ACM '78 Proceedings of the 1978 Annual Conference*, 2:954-957, 1978

(Walter Shur, 919-542-7179, wrshur@gmail.com, 1/28/13)